

COMMISSION D'ENQUÊTE SUR L'OCTROI ET LA GESTION DES CONTRATS PUBLICS DANS
L'INDUSTRIE DE LA CONSTRUCTIONDate : APRIL 29, 2015

Les commissaires :

L'honorable France Charbonneau, présidente

M. Renaud Lachance, commissaire

SAULIE ZAJDEL

REQUÉRANT

DÉCLARATION D'INTENTION DE FAIRE UNE PREUVE SUITE À LA RÉCEPTION
D'UN PRÉAVIS DE CONCLUSION DÉFAVORABLE[Art. 85 et 19d des Règles de procédure de la Commission d'enquête sur l'octroi
et la gestion des contrats publics dans l'industrie de la construction (CEIC)]PAR LES PRÉSENTES, SAULIE ZAJDEL REQUIERT LA PERMISSION DE LA CEIC DE
PRÉSENTER LA PREUVE SUIVANTE :

TÉMOINS				
NOM DU TÉMOIN	OBJET DU TÉMOIGNAGE	LANGUE		DURÉE ANTICIPÉE
		F	A	
<u>SAULIE ZAJDEL</u>	<u>see enclosed statement only</u>		✓	<u>0</u>
TOTAL :				<u>0</u>

N.B. Les parties doivent annexer à leur déclaration un résumé de témoignage anticipé¹ pour
chaque témoignage requis.

¹ Un résumé de témoignage anticipé présente brièvement ce qu'un témoin viendrait dire à l'audience s'il était appelé à témoigner, sans que cela constitue une admission du contenu de ce témoignage. Règles de procédure de la Commission d'enquête sur l'octroi et la gestion des contrats publics dans l'industrie de la construction, septembre 2012, en ligne : <https://www.ceic.gouv.qc.ca/les-regles-de-procedure.html>, art. 30.

PIÈCES	
DESCRIPTION	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTAIRES
See enclosed statement	

EXPERTISES ET AUTRES RAPPORTS		
NOM DE L'EXPERT	DOMAINE D'EXPERTISE	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTAIRES

N.B. Les parties doivent annexer à leur déclaration les rapports d'experts et les curriculums vitae des experts.

J'ANTICIPE AVOIR BESOIN DE 0 HEURES POUR FAIRE VALOIR MA POSITION.

LES PIÈCES ET AUTRES DOCUMENTS QUE J'ENTENDS UTILISER SONT ANNEXÉS À LA PRÉSENTE DÉCLARATION.

POUR TOUS CES MOTIFS, PLAISE AUX COMMISSAIRES :

~~D'ACCORDER~~ au Requérant le droit de faire la preuve exposée à la présente déclaration.

D'ACCORDER au Requérant 0 heures pour faire la preuve exposée à la présente déclaration.

Signature du Requérant

As my statement + letter to MAITRE LEBEL says, I DO NOT wish to be heard by the Commission.

Chere Maitre Lebel,

As per our discussion of today and your recommendation to me-relayed to Maitre Boro, and after having received the go-ahead from my lawyer Maitre Jeffrey Boro, I will respond as follows by email now as per your suggestion. A copy of this, attached to the form you provided, will be mailed out tomorrow, April 29th, by regular mail, please confirm the acceptability of this.

I am furthermore responding in writing with your assurance that I will NOT have to appear at the Commission in person, unlike what is stated in your letter to me dated April 15, 2015. Please also confirm this, and that this letter will only be public if the Commission decides to maintain its possible "preavis de conclusion defavorable" against me.

It is my fervent hope in writing this statement, that the Commission will choose NOT to include its "preavis" in its final report.

Thank you for your consideration in advance.

STATEMENT SOLEMNLY AFFIRMED


I wish to succinctly state three points:

1. As a City Councillor, 1986-2009, I met over the course of each year dozens, indeed perhaps a hundred or more citizens/ individuals in meetings. I have absolutely no recollection of me being at a meeting with Mr. Tony Magi in the time period specified, August 2003, almost 12 years ago, even though Mr. Magi says I was there with him. (Further, I understand that I am not heard anywhere on the wiretap).

2. Therefore, I categorically deny agreeing to support anything to do with his alleged request to me of August 2003.

3. Most critically, between November 2001 and approximately Spring 2005, I was a member of the Opposition Party, Vision Montreal of Pierre Bourque. Our Party and myself were a minority on City Council and I was the one Opposition member, one of six, on the Cote des Neiges/Notre Dame de Grace Borough Council. It would have made no sense whatsoever to approach me and to expect me to be able to affect Any zoning change to what was possibly going to be a Borough City Hall or any other zoning change, as I would have virtually no influence on a decision like that, being an opposition City Councillor in August 2003, and as I said, only one of six on the Borough Council, the only Opposition member, the other five being with the Majority Party.

I solemnly affirm this on April 28th, 2015
Saulie Zajdel



APRIL 29, 2015.

